

EX PARTE

April 27, 2006

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation by Level 3 Communications, LLC

Petition of Time Warner Cable for Declaratory Ruling That Competitive

Local Exchange Carriers May Obtain Interconnection Under
Section 251 Of The Communications Act of 1934, As Amended,
To Provide Wholesale Telecommunications Services to

VoIP Providers - WC Docket No. 06-55

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's Rules, Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3") submits this notice of ex parte presentations made in the proceeding captioned above. On April 26, 2006, Adam Kupetsky, Regulatory Counsel for Level 3, and John Nakahata, of the law firm Harris, Wiltshire and Grannis LLP, met with Jeremy Miller and Jennifer Schneider of the Competition Policy Division of the Commission's Wireline Competition Bureau.

During these meetings, the Level 3 participants reiterated the points made in Level 3's Comments and Reply Comments in this docket. In addition, Level 3 stated that Section 253(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, prohibits state public utility commissions from taking actions that preclude entry, even in its selection of remedies. To comply with Section 253(a), therefore, even if the state commission correctly found that the CLEC (in the

case of Nebraska, Sprint) was not offering service as a common carrier, the state commission nevertheless should have ordered interconnection and required the CLEC to offer the service it was providing to Time Warner on a common carrier basis as a condition of such interconnection.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ Adam Kupetsky

Adam Kupetsky Regulatory Counsel

Level 3 Communications, LLC One Technology Center TC 15H Tulsa, OK 74103 918 547 2764 (telephone) 918 547 2360 (facsimile) adam.kupetsky@wiltel.com

Jennifer Schnieder